



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,109	10/29/1999	Jacques Paris	GEI-073	6348
23432	7590	01/17/2012		
COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza 20th Floor NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER QAZI, SABIHA NAIM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2012 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/423,109	PARIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SABIHA QAZI	1628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) SABIHA QAZI, Ph.D. (Examiner). (3) ____.
- (2) Andrew Chung (Attorney). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2012

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Examiner called on 01/11/12 and left a message for Mr. John White for the missing amended abstract filed by Applicants on 07/23/03.
Mr. Andrew Chung called back today, Examiner talked about the missing abstract in this application and requested to send the abstract via faxas urgent matter because the request came to the Examiner from Printer Rush.
Mr. Chung told the Examiner that he found the abstract and will fax by the end of the day.
Examiner thanks Attorney for his cooperation...

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Sabiha Qazi/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1628